

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Item	Update		Who
1.				
	Present:	Chair: Cllr Mark Connolly (MC), Cllr Chris Williams (CW), Gareth Rogers (GR), Cllr Owen White (OW) LTC, Humph Jones (HJ) TTC, Peter Knowlson (PK) CDPC, David Dennis (DD) CDPC, Richard Semple (RiS) CKPC).  Apologies: Cllr Tony Pickernell (TP), Dennis Bottomley (DB) EPC, Rhiann Surgenor (RS)		
2.				
		The minutes of the previous meeting had been circulated and agreed by the Group.		
3.				
		2022-23 Allocation £22,170  2021-22 Underspend of £8,708.63  Available to allocated once existing commitments from 22/23 are accounted for is £3,461.63		

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

4.				
	<p>MC suggested that the Town Councils and Parishes consider if there are any footpaths that need repairing, waiting restrictions or parking bays they want to be considered as undertaking such issues in bulk will be more cost effective than individual requests. LTC had submitted two requests later in the Agenda. TTC has submitted a list to RS prior to the meeting. MC requested that Parish Councils also submit requests via the LHFIFG process prior to the next meeting in Jan. <b>Issue Closed.</b></p>			
5.				
a)	<p><b><u>Priority No 01</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-20-3</u></b></p> <p>Collingbourne Kingston</p> <p>Request for Speed Limit Assessment</p>	<p>The current 30mph zone and signage is failing to slow traffic down as it passes Aughton Junction. It is located so close to the Junction that traffic is only starting to slow down as it passes the Junction. This is creating a very dangerous situation for vehicles that are exiting the Junction on to the A338</p> <p>We would like the 30mph zone and signing to be moved 2-300 yards north of its current positioning. This would allow traffic to slow down in consideration of the 30mph speed limit by the time they get to Aughton Junction. This would dramatically improve the position for vehicles exiting Aughton Junction. We would like to have a speed review conducted to verify our concerns and then move on to having the zone and signage moved north as indicated above.</p> <p>RS confirmed that CKPC would fund 25% of the assessment costs. After a discussion the group agreed to recommend to Tidworth Area Board for a speed limit assessment to be undertaken on the A338 in CK to assess if the existing 30 mph limit can be extended to the end of the present 40 mph limit.</p>	<p>TRO to be drafted and sent to CKPC. Should be advertised by the next meeting.</p>	<p>GR</p>

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		Proposal has been added to works programme for 22-23 and will be progressed in due course. Scheme has been allocated to an Engineer and Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) has been drafted and sent to WC's Legal Team. CKPC will receive the TRO as part of the statutory consultation.		
b)	<p><b><u>Priority No.02</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-21-02</u></b></p> <p>A338 Tidworth</p> <p>Parking Issues Outside Post Office</p>	<p><i>The A338 outside of the Post Office is a very busy, often congested part of Pennings Road. There is a pedestrian pavement outside of the Post Office, Tattoo Parlour and Flower Shop. Outside the Flower Shop and Tattoo Parlour there is a short parking area/layby for clients. Outside the Post Office there is no parking but to the side there is an alley way to a residential building behind the Tattoo Parlour.</i></p> <p><i>The pedestrian pavement outside of the Post Office is often used for parking and causes many ructions, and more so now with social distancing and queues forming outside of it. There have been several nasty incidents where individuals have been rude to the Postmistress.</i></p> <p><i>There is also a pelican crossing to the right of the Post Office (as you look at it), so this area is a very busy, which does not need further complications of car parking on the pavement.</i></p> <p><i>There is a clear need to have 2 or 3 bollards or other similar 'No Parking' measures in front of the Post Office parking as agreed at the Tidworth Town Council Meeting dated the 13<sup>th</sup> April.</i></p> <p>No Objections to the TRO were received. Work has been ordered with the contractor.</p> <p>All Work is now complete. Issue can be closed and removed.</p>	Issue closed.	

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

<p>c)</p>	<p><b><u>Priority No 03</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-21-09</u></b></p> <p>A338 Tidworth</p> <p>Ashdown Estate / South Drive</p> <p>Request for Dropped Kerb Crossing.</p>	<p><i>The A338 south Tidworth has a newly completed estate, call The Ashdown Estate. There is a small roundabout at the junction with Rourke's Drift, and opposite is South Drive. South Drive leads to Tedworth House and Park.</i></p> <p><i>Many families from the Ashdown Estate cross the road near the roundabout, to walk down South Drive to Tedworth Park, for recreational purposes, and to watch events that take place there.</i></p> <p><i>If they did not use South Drive, families would have to walk towards Hampshire Cross and access the park by the Avenue leading to Tedworth House, which is a very long diversion along a very busy main road.</i></p> <p><i>The Ashdown Residents have requested dropped kerbs near to the Rourke's Drift roundabout to ease the situation, by enabling pushchairs, wheelchairs etc to cross the road more safely, and access South Drive, which is a much shorter route.</i></p> <p>GR presented a proposed design to enable safer crossing for residents.</p> <p>GR stated the cost of the scheme would be between £22-£30K and would require 30% of the Group's annual allocation (£6600) as a minimum contribution. The Group and TTC had already contributed £2500 for the design but GR advised that the more provided as a contribution, the more chance it may have in succeeding. The Group agreed to contribute of up to £4100 and TTC would be asked to fund £3400 to make the total contribution towards the scheme £10K.</p> <p>Substantive Bid has been submitted. Assessment of the bids is complete and Cabinet Member approval is awaited.</p>	<p>Awaiting Cabinet member approval of Substantive Bids.</p>	
-----------	--	---	--	--

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

<p>d)</p>	<p><b><u>Priority No 4</u></b></p> <p><b><u>Ref 15-21-10</u></b></p> <p>A338 Collingbourne Ducis</p> <p>A338 / A346 Church Street / High Street</p> <p>Junction Alteration</p>	<p><i>Collingbourne Ducis continues to have issues with speeding across this junction and near misses on a weekly basis. It is far too easy for vehicles to not slow down to a safe speed when turning left onto the High Street coming from Church Street due to the generous nature of the corner. Our second issue with the roundabout is that no sooner does it get re-painted but within a year it is noticeably getting worn away. Having a flat painted roundabout again means vehicles are no slowing sufficiently crossing the roundabout which has several close by entrances to driveways and the pub car park.</i></p> <p><i>Build Raised Roundabout and / or look at slowing down (Building Out) the corner from Church Street to the High Street coming from Tidworth direction</i></p> <p>GR presented a proposed realignment of the roundabout. DD stated that this was not what he thought had been agreed, which was making the A338 the priority and Ludgershall Road a T-Junction.</p> <p>There was a debate on the safety merits of both solutions. It was agreed that a safety audit be undertaken on both options before a decision is taken on this scheme at a cost of approx. £1000. CDPC to confirm a contribution of £250 towards the audit.</p> <p>Council's consultants have been commissioned to undertake audits of both options. Assessment has been completed and awaiting formal report – Advance information has been given that both options do not raise any initial safety concerns.</p>	<p>The Group agreed that a design of the preferred T-Junction be drawn up and passed to CDPC, to consult with the village.</p>	<p>GR/RS</p>
-----------	--	---	--	--------------

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

<p>e)</p>	<p><b><u>Ref 15-22-02</u></b></p> <p>Everleigh</p> <p>Footpath 8</p> <p>Request for replacement signs</p>	<p><i>Everleigh footpath 8 is a public footpath which starts from the Netheravon Rd Everleigh footpath 200 metres South of the A342 in Everleigh and heads SE for about 1 kilometre to the vicinity of Weatherhill Firs.</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Replace the Wiltshire Council public footpath sign at point A and cut the hedge</i></li> <li>• <i>Erect an additional Wiltshire Council public footpath sign at point B to confirm the route for walkers.</i></li> </ul> <p>DB met with Rights of Way (RoW) officer in August. Outcome was that the RoW officer would contact the Defence Infrastructure Organisation to install styles and gates. WC to provide a footpath sign on the Netheravon Road and way markers for the route.</p> <p><i>Update from RoW (Dec 22) - After our meeting on site I raised the issues we found with this path with the MOD. I recently chased them to get an update and have been informed that they are going to meet with the tenant to get this footpath available. I hope this is something they will pick up in the New Year.</i></p> <p><i>I appreciate it's taking a while but it is still on my radar. Once I have further updates from the MOD I will make sure to keep you in the loop.</i></p>	<p>DB had confirmed in an email to MC that there were no updates on this issue.</p>	<p>DB</p>
<p>f)</p>	<p><b><u>Ref 15-22-03</u></b></p> <p>Tidworth</p>	<p><i>Ordnance Road, SP9 7QB, runs from the new main roundabout in Tidworth along the A338 Pennings Road in Tidworth. It is the main route up to the old Ordnance Depot, now a business park, the Tidworth Leisure Centre on Nadder Road SP9 7QA, and the Clarendon Junior and Infant Schools on Wylve Road SP9 7QQ. It will also be the main route to the</i></p>	<p>GR stated that the assessment should be complete by next meeting.</p>	<p>GR/RS</p>

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	<p>Ordnance Road</p> <p>Request for Improved Pedestrian Facilities</p>	<p><i>new Civic Centre once it has been built. The area is very congested especially at high peak times, including school opening and closing times. It becomes a bottle neck of traffic and pedestrians trying to cross Ordnance Road.</i></p> <p><i>Nadder Road and Wylde Road cut across Ordnance Road and is the main route for pedestrians to get to and from the schools.</i></p> <p><i>At the Full Town Council Meeting on 7th June 2022, Councillors agreed to pay a 30% contribution towards a survey to help make this area more accessible, and safe for pedestrians to cross Ordnance Road by means of a zebra crossing.</i></p> <p>GR advised that an assessment of the site would cost £2500. TTC would contribute £750. The Group agreed to fund the remainder of the cost (£1750).</p> <p>Assessment has been added to the 22/23 Works Programme. This has been allocated to staff member to progress.</p>		
g)	<p><b><u>Ref 15-22-04</u></b></p> <p>Collingbourne Kingston</p> <p>A338</p> <p>Signing Review</p>	<p><i>Our faded speed limit signs are not giving a very strong message to transiting motorists that we take obeying the speed limit in this village very seriously. May we have them refurbished or replaced with new please. We feel this would contribute to our continuing goal to reduce the incidence of speeding in our village, in the interests of road safety and quality of life.</i></p> <p>A comprehensive review would be needed to establish the condition and identification of suitable replacements. A cost estimate cannot be provided until this is complete.</p>	<p>RS had provided plan to CKPC. RiS confirmed CKPC would fund 25% of the cost. The Group agreed to fund the remaining £1500.</p>	RD

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		RS met with PC. Agreement on actions to replace signs at Southern end. Cost estimate £2,000. RS to provide proposal plan PC.		
h)	<p><b><u>Ref 15-22-05</u></b></p> <p>Ludgershall</p> <p>Mead Road</p> <p>Waiting Restrictions</p>	<p><i>Parking on both sides of the street, causes traffic back up and the inability for emergency services to pass.</i></p> <p><i>Request No Waiting Restrictions as per attached map.</i></p> <p>A review of restrictions would be in the region of £3,000 to £4,000.</p> <p>LTC to agree the length of road to be considered for waiting restrictions on the left-hand side of Meade Road going up the hill. The Group agreed that the other side of the road have waiting restrictions from the junction to the entrance of the residential car park.</p> <p>LTC have agreed to fund 25% of the assessment cost.</p> <p>LTC to agree the length of road on the left-hand side of Meade Road to be considered.</p>	LTC had confirmed the length of waiting restrictions required. Draft TROs should be with LTC by the next meeting.	GR/RS
i)	<p><b><u>Ref 15-22-06</u></b></p> <p>Ludgershall</p> <p>High Street</p> <p>Footway Condition / Accessibility</p>	<p><i>The High Street pavement is very badly damaged from years of use and agencies digging up etc. It is difficult for wheelchairs to use the pavement.</i></p> <p><i>LTC have identified the above and attached a map to indicate its location.</i></p> <p>Ballpark estimate for Resurfacing and Traffic Management – Circa £8,000.</p>	Work hopefully completed by the next meeting.	GR/RS




## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>LTC agreed to fund £2K of the estimated cost. The Group agreed to fund the remainder.</p> <p>Scheme has been added to 22/23 programme for progression.</p>		
j)	<p><b><u>Ref 15-22-08</u></b></p> <p>Collingbourne Ducis</p> <p>Cadley Road</p> <p>Refurbishment of Virtual Footway</p>	<p><i>The virtual footpath in Cadley Road has started to wear away and is no longer as clearly visible to drivers.</i></p> <p><i>Re-painting of the Virtual Footpath in Cadley Road to make it clearly visible again.</i></p> <p>Assuming the carriageway surface is in good order, then a refreshing of the existing road markings would be in the order of £2,500.</p> <p>RS has arranged for minor repairs to the highway, which will be completed in two weeks or eight weeks. The Group agreed to fund the lining to restore the virtual footway. CDPC agreed to fund 25% of the cost.</p> <p>RS has arranged minor road repairs. CDPC agreed to fund 25% (£833) of the £2500 cost.</p> <p>Scheme has been allocated to 22/23 Programme.</p>	<p>Carriage repairs complete. White lining expected to be complete by the next meeting.</p>	RS
<b>6.</b>	<b>Other Priority schemes</b>			
a)	<p><b><u>Ref 15-22-01</u></b></p>	<p>The lane is not suitable for HGV's and despite there being a 'Not Suitable for HGV's' sign in place vehicles are still trying to access it. The current sign has been damaged.</p>	<p>CW to seek financial approval from Chute PC fir its 25% contribution.</p>	CW

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	<p>Chute Forest Lane</p> <p>Request for Unsuitable for HGV Sign's</p>	<p>I have received reports of damage in November and December 2021 and believe that there were reports of damage before I took on the role.</p> <p><a href="https://goo.gl/maps/52AudQ5JX5iudwLp9">https://goo.gl/maps/52AudQ5JX5iudwLp9</a> The 'Not Suitable for HGV's' sign being replaced with 'No HGV's' sign.</p> <p>Chute PC yet to confirm a 25% contribution towards the sign (total cost of £500).</p>		
<b>7.</b>	<b>Open / Other Issues</b>			
a)				
<b>8.</b>	<b>New Issues</b>			
a)	<p><b><u>Ref 15-22-09</u></b></p> <p>Ludgershall High Street</p> <p>Request for Disabled Parking Bay</p>	<p><i>Disabled users of near by business's have been parking in dangerous location, correspondence has gone to the local business asking them to advise their clients not to park illegally and check the regulations or their badge.</i></p> <p><i>We would like to make the a disabled parking bay, the location is already a designated parking location we simply want to make one spot for blue badge holders only.</i></p>	<p>GR advised that this could be added to the Meade Road TRO without additional cost. The lining would be approximately £200.</p> <p>The Group agreed to the request being added to the Meade Road TRO and to fund £150 of the white lining.</p> <p>OW to seek LTC approval to fund £50 of the white lining.</p>	GR/OW

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

				
b)	<p><b><u>Ref 15-22-10</u></b></p> <p>Ludgershall</p> <p>Church Street</p> <p>Request for Disabled Parking Bay</p>	<p><i>Ludgershall Town Council received reports of dangerous parking outside local businesses at the named location, upon investigation with the local businesses transpired that it was disabled users, the council checked the regulations for blue badge parking and as the premises is so close to a bend and emergency vehicles are unable to safely get through the parking is still not warranted, the business owners asked if there is anything they can do for their disabled users to park safely. It has been suggested to make a disabled parking bay in Wiltshire councils near by parking spaces.</i></p> <p><i>There are local car parking spaces close by owned by Wiltshire Council, we suggest that one of those spaces becomes a disabled parking bay allowing the blue badge holders to park close enough to the local businesses without causing dangerous and illegal parking.</i></p>	<p>CW and OW did not believe this request was feasible as Church Street is not wide enough. OW to clarify the request.</p>	<p>OW</p>
c)	<p><b><u>Ref 15-23-01</u></b></p>	<p><i>White lining has worn away which is causing a safety issue for pedestrians.</i></p>	<p>GR would seek an informal view on whether a central refuse could be provided.</p>	<p>GR/OW</p>

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

	Ludgershall Empress Way Road Marking replacement	<i>An assessment needs to be completed as to whether a pedestrian crossing can be installed or any other safety measurements instead. What we would really like is a proper crossing but at the least new markings as drivers will not realise there is a crossing there.</i>	The Group agreed to fund £150 towards white lining costs. OW to seek LTC approval to fund £50 of the white lining costs.	
<b>9.</b>	<b>Other items</b>			
a)				
<b>10.</b>	<b>AOB</b>			
a)	Collingbourne Kingston	<p>Discussion on Collision Reduction</p> <p>A public meeting is being held in CK village hall on Thursday with Danny Kruger MP and WC representatives. This followed an incident when a lorry from Solstice Park crashed into the River Bourne.</p> <p>RiS asked for an update on the progress of the Highways England review.</p> <p>Post meeting GR confirmed HE are due to provide an update imminently, with the publication due in Spring 2023.</p>	<p>GR to ascertain if HE had provided any updates and report to MC.</p> <p>DD stated that Home Bargains are starting to engage with the villages. They are building a new depot in Warrington which will mean a reduction in lorries from Solstice Park going through the Collingbournes, Completion expected in 18 months.</p>	GR
b)	Pennings Road, Tidworth	<p>HJ mentioned a petition requesting traffic calming measures and reduced speed limits on Pennings Road from the Riverbourne roundabout to the Ram Public House junction. HJ &amp; MC had been in correspondence with the petitioner.</p>	<p>MC stated that TTC had requested metrocounts for Pennings Road, Windmill Drive and Bulford Road. TTC to</p>	TTC

## LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG

		<p>HJ stated that the speed camera sign was not working correctly &amp; queried if it needed replacing.</p> <p>Traffic Signals have been advised of the faulty VAS Speed Camera Sign. However, as the Speed Camera is no longer in situ, and the sign does not warn of a hazard then it is likely to be removed, rather than replaced.</p>	<p>discuss results and come to the Group with any suggestions.</p>	
c)	Church Bend, Collingbourne Ducis	<p>PK stated that lorries are eating further into grass verge near Rectory and causing issues.</p>	<p>GR advised people to submit on the MyWilts app. He asked PK/DD to send photos to him. He would then approach the maintenance team.</p>	PK/DD/ GR
d)	Ludgershall Road, Tidworth	<p>HJ stated there were gaps in the fencing in Ludgershall Road.</p>	<p>MC stated that RS had confirmed works were programmed for the new financial year. GR said he would contact the maintenance team to provide some form of temporary barriers.</p>	GR
e)	Railway Crossing, Wellington Academy	<p>CW stated that the tarmac immediately next to the crossing was breaking up badly. He was not sure if this would be MOD or WC to resolve.</p>	<p>GR stated he would contact the maintenance team to establish ownership.</p>	GR
11.	<p><b>Date of Next Meeting: To be confirmed.</b></p>			

**Tidworth Local Highway Footway Improvement Group**

**Highways Officer – Gareth Rogers / Rhiann Surgenor**

**Local Highway Officer – To be confirmed in due course**

LOCAL HIGHWAY FOOTWAY HIGHWAY IMPROVEMENT GROUP ACTION / NOTES LOG